arXiv:0904.3674v1 [math.RA] 23 Apr 2009

FILTERED ALGEBRAIC ALGEBRAS

ALON REGEV

ABSTRACT. Small and Zelmanov posed the question whether every element of a graded algebra over an uncountable field must be nilpotent, provided that the homogeneous elements are nilpotent. This question has recently been answered in the negative by A. Smoktunowicz. In this paper we prove that the answer is affirmative for associated graded algebras of filtered algebraic algebras. Our result is based on Amitsur's theorems on algebas over infinite fields.

MSC: 16S15, 16U99.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, k is a field and A is a k-algebra.

Definition 1.1. (1) If A is a k-algebra, we say that A is *nil* if every element of A is nilpotent.
(2) If A is a graded k-algebra, we say that A is graded-nil if every homogeneous element of A is nilpotent.

Clearly, every nil, graded algebra is graded-nil. The question of whether the converse to this statement is true has been of some interest. Bartholdi [2] constructed a graded-nil but not nil algebra over a countable field. Small and Zelmanov [4] posed the question whether, for an uncountable field, every graded-nil algebra is nil. This was answered in the negative by A. Smoktunowicz [7], who constructed an algebra over any field which is graded-nil but not nil.
Let A be a filtered k-algebra. That is, there exist subspaces $F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \dots$ of A, such that $\bigcup_{i\geq 0} F_i = A$ and $F_iF_j \subseteq F_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \geq 0$. The associated graded algebra of A is defined as follows.

Definition 1.2. If A is a k-algebra filtered by $\{F_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, then the *associated graded algebra* of A (with respect to this filtration) is

$$\mathrm{gr}(A) = F_0 \oplus \frac{F_1}{F_0} \oplus \frac{F_2}{F_1} \oplus \dots, \tag{1.1}$$

with multiplication defined as follows. For $p, q \geq 0$, if $a_p + F_{p-1}$ and $a_q + F_{q-1}$, with $a_p \in F_p$ and $a_q \in F_q$, are arbitrary homogeneous elements of $\mathrm{gr}(A)$ (taking $F_{-1} = \{0\}$) then

$$(a_p + F_{p-1})(a_q + F_{q-1}) = a_pa_q + F_{p+q-1}. \tag{1.2}$$

This multiplication is extended linearly to arbitrary elements of $\mathrm{gr}(A)$.

Date: April 23, 2009.

We define

$$(\mathrm{gr}\, A)_{\geq 1} = \frac{F_1}{F_0} \oplus \frac{F_2}{F_1} \oplus \dots \tag{1.3}$$

Our main result states that

Theorem 1.3. *If k is uncountable and A is algebraic then $(\mathrm{gr}\, A)_{\geq 1}$ is nil.*

Thus, being graded-nil does imply being nil, for associated graded algebras of algebraic algebras over uncountable fields. This result is based on the Amitsur's Theorem 1.5 below.

Definition 1.4. (1) If A is a nil k-algebra, we say that A is *locally of bounded index (LBI)* over k if the elements of every finite-dimensional k-subspace of A have bounded index of nilpotence.

(2) If A is an algebraic k-algebra, we say that A is *locally of bounded degree (LBD)* over k if the elements of every finite-dimensional k-subspace of A have bounded degree of algebraicity.

In [1] Amitsur proved

Theorem 1.5 ([1, Theorem 5])**.** *Let k be an uncountable field and let A be a k-algebra. If A is nil then it is LBI. If A is algebraic then it is LBD.*

In fact, Amitsur proved the following stronger statements:

Theorem 1.6 ([1, Corollary 7])**.** *Let k be an uncountable field and let A be a k-algebra. Then any nil subspace of A has bounded index, and any algebraic subspace of A has bounded degree.*

In [], we use the properties of order-symmetric polynomials to give an alternative proof of Theorem 1.6. In this paper, we use these properties, along with Theorem 1.6 itself, to prove Theorem 1.3.

This paper is part of the author's Ph.D. dissertation at the University of California, San Diego under the supervision of Lance Small, to whom he wishes to express his warm thanks.

2. The polynomials $p_{i_1,\dots,i_m}$

Throughout this paper k is a field and $k\langle x_1,\dots,x_m\rangle$ is the associative algebra of the noncommutative polynomials in $x_1,\dots,x_m$. Note that if $A = k\langle x_1,\dots,x_m\rangle$ then

$$A = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n, \tag{2.1}$$

where A_n are the homogeneous polynomials in $x_1,\dots,x_m$ of total degree n.
Given a sequence $i_1,\dots,i_m$ of nonnegative integers, there are $\frac{(i_1+\dots+i_m)!}{i_1!\cdots i_m!}$ different noncommutative monomials in $x_1,\dots,x_m$ of degree i_j in x_j, $1 \leq j \leq m$. For example let $m = 2 = i_1 = i_2$, then the $\frac{(2+2)!}{2!2!} = 6$ monomials of degree 2 in x_1 and in x_2 are $x_1^2x_2^2$, $x_1x_2x_1x_2$, $x_1x_2^2x_1$, $x_2x_1^2x_2$, $x_2x_1x_2x_1$ and $x_2^2x_1^2$.

The polynomials $p_{i_1,\dots,i_m}(x_1,\dots,x_m)$ are defined, for any $i_1,\dots,i_k \in \mathbb{N}$, as follows.

Definition 2.1. We define $p_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$ to be the sum of all the $\frac{(i_1+\ldots+i_m)!}{i_1!\cdots i_m!}$ different monomials consisting of exactly i_j occurrences of x_j for each j. We take $p_{0,\ldots,0}(x_1,\ldots,x_m)=1$.

Definition 2.2. For any $n\geq 0$, any field k and any $x_1,\ldots,x_m$ denote

$$P_n(x_1,\ldots,x_m)=\mathrm{span}_k\{p_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}(x_1,\ldots,x_m)\mid i_1+\ldots+i_m=n\}. \tag{2.2}$$

The polynomials $p_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}$ can be used as follows. It is well known that for $f=\alpha_1x_1+\ldots+\alpha_mx_m$,

$$f^n=\sum_{1\leq j_1,\ldots,j_n\leq m}(\alpha_{j_1}x_{j_1})\cdots(\alpha_{j_n}x_{j_n})=\sum_{1\leq j_1,\ldots,j_n\leq m}\alpha_{j_1}\cdots\alpha_{j_n}\cdot x_{j_1}\cdots x_{j_n} \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m\in k$ and let $f=\alpha_1x_1+\ldots+\alpha_mx_m$. Then*

$$f^n=\sum_{i_1+\ldots+i_m=n}\alpha_1^{i_1}\cdots\alpha_m^{i_m}\cdot p_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}(x_1,\ldots,x_m). \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. This follows from (2.3) and Definition 2.1, since for each monomial $x_{j_1}\cdots x_{j_n}$ that appears in $p_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}$, the coefficient $\alpha_{j_1}\cdots\alpha_{j_n}$ in (2.3) is equal to $\alpha_1^{i_1}\cdots\alpha_m^{i_m}$. □

Let $W=\mathrm{span}_k\{(\alpha_1x_1+\ldots+\alpha_mx_m)^n\mid\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m\in k\}$. By Lemma 2.3, $W\subseteq P_n(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$. We will show:

Proposition 2.4. *If $|k|\geq n+1$ then $W=P_n(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$.*

This is a consequence of the fundamental Proposition 2.6 below. We include its proof here for completion, although it has been used in the past (see [5], [6]). We begin with the following generalization of a basic "Vandermonde argument".

Lemma 2.5. *Let V be a vector space over a field k, let $v_0,\ldots,v_d\in V$ and let $\xi_0,\ldots,\xi_d\in k$ be $d+1$ distinct field elements. Let W be a subspace of V. If*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d}\xi_j^iv_i\in W \tag{2.5}$$

for all $j\in\{0,\ldots,d\}$, then $v_i\in W$ for all $i\in\{0,\ldots,d\}$.

Proof. We write the condition (2.5) in matrix form as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&\xi_0&\cdots&\xi_0^d\\1&\xi_1&\cdots&\xi_1^d\\1&\vdots&\ddots&\vdots\\1&\xi_d&\cdots&\xi_d^d\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}v_0\\v_1\\\vdots\\v_d\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}w_0\\w_1\\\vdots\\w_d\end{pmatrix},$$

where $w_j\in W$. The multiplying matrix is a Vandermonde matrix, known to be invertible when the ξ_i are distinct. Multiplying by the inverse matrix, we obtain $v_i\in W$ for all $i\in\{0,\ldots,d\}$. □

Proposition 2.6. *Let k be a field with $|k|\geq n+1$, let $W\subseteq V$ be k-vector spaces, and let $\{w_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m}\mid\mu_1+\ldots+\mu_m=n\}$ be a set of vectors in V. Assume that*

$$\sum_{\mu_1+\ldots+\mu_m=n}\alpha_1^{\mu_1}\cdots\alpha_m^{\mu_m}w_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m}\in W \tag{2.6}$$

for all $\alpha_i\in k$. Then all $w_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m}\in W$.

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on m. When $m = 1$, equation (2.6) becomes $\alpha_1^{\mu_1} w_n \in W$. Since $|k| \geq n+1 \geq 2$, choose $0 \neq \alpha_1 \in k$. By assumption $\alpha_1^{\mu_1} w_n \in W$. Since W is a vector space, this implies that $w_n \in W$ as claimed.

Assume now that the assertion is true for $m-1$. Write (2.6) as follows. Let $r = \mu_1$, then

$$\sum_{\mu_1+\ldots+\mu_m=n} \alpha_1^{\mu_1} \cdots \alpha_m^{\mu_m} w_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m} = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \alpha_1^r \cdot \bar{w}_r \in W, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\bar{w}_r = \sum_{\mu_2+\ldots+\mu_m=n-r} \alpha_2^{\mu_2} \cdots \alpha_m^{\mu_m} w_{r,\mu_2,\ldots,\mu_m}. \tag{2.8}$$

By Lemma 2.5 all $\bar{w}_r \in W$. Therefore by the induction assumption on $m-1$, all $w_{r,\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m} \in W$. Thus the assertion is true for m, and the inductive step is complete. □

The proof of Proposition 2.4 now follows from Lemma 2.3 and from Proposition 2.6.

Corollary 2.7. *Let A be a k-algebra with $|k| \geq n+1$ and let $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in A$. Let $W \subseteq A$ be a subspace of A. If*

$$(\alpha_1 a_1 + \ldots + \alpha_m a_m)^n \in W \tag{2.9}$$

for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in k$ then $P_n(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \subseteq W$.

Proof. Let $W_x = W(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \mathrm{span}_k\{(\alpha_1 x_1 + \ldots + \alpha_m x_m)^n \mid \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in k\}$, and similarly $W_a = W(a_1, \ldots, a_m) = \mathrm{span}_k\{(\alpha_1 a_1 + \ldots + \alpha_m a_m)^n \mid \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in k\}$, so by assumption $W_a \subseteq W$. Since $|k| \geq n+1$, by Proposition 2.4 $W_x = P_n(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$. Substituting x_r by a_r implies that $W_a = P_n(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$, which completes the proof. □

Proposition 2.8. *Let A be an algebra over an infinite field k, and let $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in A$. Then the subspace $U = ka_1 + \ldots + ka_m \subseteq A$ is nil of bounded index $\leq n$, if and only if $P_n(a_1, \ldots, a_m) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. By definition $U = ka_1 + \ldots + ka_m$ is nil of bounded index $\leq n$ if and only if for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in k$, $(\alpha_1 a_1 + \ldots + \alpha_m a_m)^n = 0$. Thus the "if" part of the proposition follows from Lemma 2.3, and the reverse assertion is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.7 with $W = \{0\}$. □

3. Algebraicity of bounded degree

Recall the space $P_n(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ of Definition 2.2.

Definition 3.1. We denote

$$P_{\leq r}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{n=1}^{r} P_n(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{r} P_n(x_1, \ldots, x_m),$$

and

$$P_{\geq r}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} P_n(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \bigoplus_{n=r}^{\infty} P_n(x_1, \ldots, x_m).$$

Similarly, given a k-algebra A and $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in A$, let
$P_{\leq r}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) = \sum_{n=1}^{r} P_n(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ and $P_{\geq r}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) = \sum_{n=r}^{\infty} P_n(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $D \geq d$. Let A be a k-algebra with $|k| \geq D+1$ and let $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in A$. Assume that for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in k$, $\alpha_1 a_1 + \ldots + \alpha_m a_m$ is algebraic of degree at most d. Then $P_D(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$.*

Proof. Denote $P_j = P_j(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ for any j, and denote $P_{\geq j}$ similarly. Let $u = \alpha_1 a_1 + \ldots + \alpha_m a_m$. By algebraicity u^d is a linear combination of lower powers of u, therefore $u^d \in P_{\leq d-1}$. By Corollary 2.7 with $W = P_{\leq d-1}$, it follows that $P_d \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}$.

If $D+1 \geq d+2$, we can continue: By the same argument $P_{d+1} \subseteq P_{\leq d}$, while the previous step implies that $P_{\leq d} \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}$, hence $P_{d+1} \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}$. Continuing this way we finally get that $P_D \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}$. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let k be infinite, let A be a k algebra and let $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in A$. If each element of $ka_1 + \ldots + ka_m$ is algebraic of degree at most d then $P_{\geq 0}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ and in particular, $\dim_k P_{\geq 0}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) < \infty$.*

Definition 3.4. Denote

$$M_{d,m} = \binom{d+m-1}{m}.$$

We note that

$$\dim_k P_n(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \binom{m+n-1}{m-1} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\dim_k P_{\leq n}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \dim_k P_j = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{j+m-1}{m-1} = \binom{n+m}{m}. \tag{3.2}$$

Thus, given an algebra A and elements $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in A$, we have

$$\dim_k P_{\leq d-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \leq M_{d,m}. \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.5. *If $P_{\leq M_{d,m}}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ for some $d \geq 1$ then the subspace $ka_1 + \ldots + ka_m$ is algebraic of degree at most $M_{d,m}$.*

Proof. Let $a = \alpha_1 a_1 + \ldots + \alpha_m a_m \in ka_1 + \ldots + ka_m$. Then by assumption, for any $0 \leq n \leq M_{d,m}$ we have (using Lemma 2.3 with x_i replaced by a_i)

$$a^n \in P_n(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \subseteq P_{\leq M_{d,m}}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_m). \tag{3.4}$$

Thus

$$\dim_k P_k\{a^n \mid 0 \leq n \leq M_{d,m}\} \leq \dim_k P_{\leq d-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \leq M_{d,m}. \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore the set $\{a^n \mid 0 \leq n \leq M_{d,m}\}$ is linearly dependent over k, and hence a is algebraic of degree at most $M_{d,m}$. □

The following corollaries are immediate.

Corollary 3.6. *If $P_{\geq 0}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ for some $d \geq 1$ then $ka_1 + \ldots + ka_m$ is algebraic of degree at most $M_{d,m}$.*

Corollary 3.7. *If $\dim_k P_{\geq 0}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) < \infty$ then $ka_1 + \ldots + ka_m$ is algebraic of bounded degree.*

We can now prove

Proposition 3.8. [3, Lemma 16(i)] *Let A be an algebra over an infinite field k, and let $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in A$. Then the subspace $ka_1 + \ldots + ka_m$ is algebraic of bounded degree if and only if* $\dim_k(P_{\geq 0}(a_1, \ldots, a_m)) < \infty$.

Proof. One direction of the proof is given by Corollary 3.3, and the opposite direction – by Corollary 3.7. □

4. Filtered Algebraic Algebras

Let A be a filtered k-algebra with the filtration $\{F_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. We aim to prove that if k is uncountable and A is algebraic then every element of $(\operatorname{gr} A)_{\geq 1}$ is nilpotent. In particular, being graded-nil does imply being nil, for associated graded algebras of algebraic algebras over uncountable fields.
We first note that from (1.2) it follows (by induction) that if $a_{p_1}, \ldots, a_{p_n}$ satisfy $a_{p_i} \in F_{p_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ then

$$(a_{p_1} + F_{p_1-1})(a_{p_2} + F_{p_2-1}) \cdots (a_{p_n} + F_{p_n-1}) = a_{p_1} a_{p_2} \cdots a_{p_n} + F_{p_1+\ldots+p_n-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

We note the following properties of the order-symmetric polynomials in graded and filtered algebras. If the k-algebra A is filtered by $\{F_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $a_p, \ldots, a_q \in A$ satisfy $a_i \in F_i$ for all $p \leq i \leq q$, then

$$p_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}(a_p, \ldots, a_q) \in F_{i_1 p+\ldots+i_m q}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $m = q - p + 1$. Similarly, suppose $B = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} B_i$ is a graded k-algebra. If $b_p, \ldots, b_q$ are m homogeneous elements with $b_i \in B_i$ then

$$p_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}(b_p, \ldots, b_q) \in B_{i_1 p+\ldots+i_m q}. \tag{4.3}$$

Now let A be a k-algebra filtered by $\{F_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and let $B = \operatorname{gr}(A)$ be its associated graded algebra. Let $a_p, \ldots, a_q \in A$ satisfy $a_i \in F_i$ for each $p \leq i \leq q$, and let $b_i = a_i + F_{i-1} \in B$. We first note that by (4.1), if $p \leq p_j \leq q$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ then

$$b_{p_1} \cdots b_{p_n} = a_{p_1} \cdots a_{p_n} + F_{p_1+\ldots+p_n-1}. \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore if this product contains exactly i_j occurrences of b_j for each
$p \leq j \leq q$, then

$$b_{p_1} \cdots b_{p_n} = a_{p_1} \cdots a_{p_n} + F_{i_p p+\ldots+i_q q-1}. \tag{4.5}$$

Since each $p_{i_p,\ldots,i_q}(b_p, \ldots, b_q)$ is a sum of such products, we have

$$p_{i_p,\ldots,i_q}(b_p, \ldots, b_q) = p_{i_p,\ldots,i_q}(a_p, \ldots, a_q) + F_{pi_p+\ldots+qi_q-1}. \tag{4.6}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let A be a filtered k-algebra, with a filtration $\{F_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. Let
$B = \operatorname{gr}(A)$ be its associated graded algebra. If A is LBD, then $B_{\geq 1}$ is LBI. In particular, if k is uncountable and A is a filtered algebraic k-algebra then* $\operatorname{gr}(A)_{\geq 1}$ *is nil (and LBI).*

Proof. Let S be a finite-dimensional subspace of B. We may assume
$S = B_p \oplus \ldots \oplus B_q$, with $1 \leq p \leq q$, since every finite-dimensional subspace of $B_{\geq 1}$ is contained in a subspace of this form. Let $b = b_p + \ldots + b_q$ be any element of S, where $b_i \in \dfrac{F_i}{F_{i-1}}$ (we take $F_{-1} = \{0\}$). That is, for each $i \in \{p, \ldots, q\}$, we have

$b_i = a_i + F_{i-1}$, with $a_i \in F_i$. By assumption, the subspace $F_p + \ldots + F_q$ is algebraic of bounded degree, say d. Therefore by Corollary 3.3, we have

$$P_{\geq 0}(a_p, \ldots, a_q) \subseteq P_{\leq d-1}(a_p, \ldots, a_q). \tag{4.7}$$

Now $P_{\leq d-1}(a_p, \ldots, a_q)$ is spanned by elements of the form $p_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}(a_p, \ldots, a_q)$, with $i_1 + \ldots + i_m = d - 1$. Such $i_1, \ldots, i_m$ satisfy $i_1 p + \ldots + i_m q \leq q(d-1)$. Therefore by (4.2) this implies

$$P_{\geq 0}(a_p, \ldots, a_q) \subseteq F_{(d-1)q}. \tag{4.8}$$

Now let

$$N = \left\lceil \frac{(d-1)q}{p} + 1 \right\rceil \tag{4.9}$$

and suppose $i_p + \ldots + i_q \geq N$. Then

$$pi_p + \ldots + qi_q - 1 \geq pN - 1 \geq (d-1)q. \tag{4.10}$$

Therefore

$$p_{i_p,\ldots,i_q}(a_p, \ldots, a_q) \in F_{(d-1)q} \subseteq F_{pi_p+\ldots+qi_q-1}, \tag{4.11}$$

and so

$$p_{i_p,\ldots,i_q}(b_p, \ldots, b_q) = p_{i_p,\ldots,i_q}(a_p, \ldots, a_q) + F_{pi_p+\ldots+qi_q-1} = 0_B. \tag{4.12}$$

Thus $P_N(b_1, \ldots, b_n) = \{0_B\}$, and it follows from Proposition 2.8 that $(b_p + \ldots + b_q)^N = 0$. This shows that S is nil of bounded index N. Thus B is LBI. The final statement follows from Theorem 1.5. □

Remark 4.2. We can use the bound N of (4.9) to derive a relation between the degrees of algebraicity in A and the indices of nilpotence in $\mathrm{gr}(A)$. Namely, if each F_i is algebraic of bounded degree at most d_i, then each $\frac{F_1}{F_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{F_r}{F_{r-1}}$ is nil of bounded index at most $(d_r - 1)r + 1 \leq rd_r$.

5. Integrality

Definition 5.1. For a k-algebra A, let $A[x]$ be the algebra of polynomials over x with coefficients in A. We say that an element $a(x) \in A[x]$ is *integral* of degree n over $k[x]$ if $a(x)^n + p_{n-1}(x)a(x)^{n-1} + \ldots + p_0(x) = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$ and polynomials $p_i(x) \in k[x]$.

Note that if $a(x)$ is integral over $k[x]$ then in particular it is algebraic over $k(x)$, the field of rational functions over k.

Lemma 5.2. *Let A be a filtered k-algebra, with filtration $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. Let $R = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} x^n A_n \subset A[x]$ be the Rees algebra. Let $a(x) = a_1 x + ... + a_m x^m \in R$, where $a_i \in A_i$, and suppose that $a(x)$ is integral over $k[x]$. Then $a(x)^N \in xR$ for some $N \geq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $a(x)$ is integral over $k[x]$ of degree n. Then for any $N \geq 0$ we have

$$a(x)^N = q_{n-1}(x)a(x)^{n-1} + \ldots + q_1(x)a(x) + q_0(x) \tag{5.1}$$

for some polynomials $q_i(x) \in k[x]$. Choose $N \geq m(n-1)+1$. The left-hand side of (5.1) is in $x^N A[x]$. The right-hand side is in $A_{m(n-1)}[x]$ (i.e., it is a polynomial in x with coefficients in the subspace $A_{m(n-1)}$). Thus $a(x)^N \in x^N A[x] \cap A_{m(n-1)}[x] \subseteq x^N A_{m(n-1)}[x] \subseteq x^N A_{N-1}[x] \subseteq \bigoplus_{n\geq 1} x^n A_{n-1} = xR$. □

Corollary 5.3. *Let A be a filtered algebra and suppose that every element of the Rees algebra $R = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} x^n A_n$ is integral over $k[x]$. Then $\mathrm{gr}(A)_{\geq 1}$ is nil.*

Proof. The map $\phi : \mathrm{gr}(A) \to \frac{R}{xR}$ defined by
$\phi(a_0 + (a_1 + A_0) + \ldots + (a_m + A_{m-1})) = a_0 + a_1 x + \ldots + a_m x^m + xR$
is a graded-algebra isomorphism. Under this map, an element
$(a_1 + A_0) + \ldots + (a_m + A_{m-1}) \in \mathrm{gr}(A)_{\geq 1}$ $(a_i \in A_i)$ corresponds to
$a_1 x + \ldots + a_m x^m + xR \in \frac{R}{xR}$. By Lemma 5.2 all such elements are nilpotent. □

Remark 5.4. If A is a filtered k-algebra which satisfies the LBD property (for example, if k is uncountable and A is algebraic over k), then by [1, Lemma 6], the extension algebra $A \otimes_k k(x)$ is LBD over $k(x)$.

This raises the following question.

Question 5.5. If $A \otimes_k k(x)$ is LBD over $k(x)$, is every element of $A[x]$ integral over $k[x]$?

In view of Corollary 5.3 (and since $R \subset A[x]$), a positive answer would give another proof of Theorem 4.1.

References

[1] S.A. Amitsur.
Algebras over infinite fields.
Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 7(1):35–48, Feb. 1956.

[2] L. Bartholdi.
Branch rings, thinned rings, tree enveloping rings.
Israel J. Math., 154:93–139, 2006.

[3] G. Bergman.
Radicals, tensor products and algebraicity.
Israel Mathematical Conference Proceedings, 1:150–192, 1989.

[4] L. Small and E. Zelmanov
private communication, 2006.

[5] I. Kaplansky.
On a problem of Kurosch and Jacobson.
Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 52(12):1033–1035, 1946.

[6] I. Schur.
Uber eine Klasse von Matrizen, die sich einergegebenen Matrix zuordenenlassen.
Dissertation (1901); reprinted in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen* **1**, 1-72, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1973.

[7] A. Smoktunowicz.
The Jacobson radical of rings with nilpotent homogeneous elements.
Bull. London Math. Soc., 40:917–928, 2008.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Northern Illinois University, Watson Hall 320
DeKalb, Illinois 60115, USA
E-mail address: regev@math.niu.edu